

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/750,567 | 12/26/2000 | Edmund J. Mozeleski | 99B065/2 | 6491 |
| 7590 12/24/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| ExxonMobil Chemical Company P.O. Box 2149 | | | OH, TAYLOR V | |
| Baytown, TX 77522-2149 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • • | | | 1625 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/750,567 | MOZELESKI ET AL. | | | | |
| navicery modern | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Taylor Victor Oh | 1625 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 11/13/2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension priginally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | riod set forth in f the appeal. | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>see pages 2-3</u> . | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | (s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belov | ☐ will be entered and an wor appended. | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>28 December 2000</u> | is a) □ approved or b) □ disa | poroved by the Examiner | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | ((3)(1110-1449) 1 apel (((3) | · | | | | |
| Julier. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/750,567

Art Unit: 1625

The rejection of Claims 1-2, 7-10, 15, 18, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, has been withdrawn due to the modification made in the amendment; the rejection of Claims 1-2, 7-10, 15, 18, and 36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, has been withdrawn due to the modification made in the amendment.

It is noted that applicants have filed an Amendment after the Final Rejection; applicants' attorney has addressed the issues of record or rebutted the obviousness under 35 USC 103 (a). However, in order for all the claims 1-4,7-12,15-58 to be allowable, there are following limitations to be changed in the claims:

- in claims 1, 36, 37 and 58, a phrase "and mixtures thereof "in (c) is recited;
 however, according the specification, there are no specific mixtures of the alcohols in any combination; therefore, the removal of the phrase is recommended;
- in claim 2, a phrase "the olefin <u>or ether</u>" is recited; however, there is a lack of antecedent basis for the ether; therefore, the removal of the phrase" <u>or ether</u> " is recommended.

Unless these changes are made to the claims, the prosecution of allowing the application will be delayed.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,567

Art Unit: 1625

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 703-305-0809. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on 703-308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-2742 for regular communications and 703-305-7401 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

December 16, 2003

D. MARGARET SEAMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER